Weak Gravity versus Scale Separation

Niccolò Cribiori



Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut) Unterstützt von / Supported by



(日) (四) (三) (三) (三)

크

Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung/Foundation

String Phenomenology '22

Liverpool, 7th July 2022

Based on 2203.05559 (JHEP 06 (2022) 006) with G. Dall'Agata

Introduction and Motivation

Minimal requirements for (string) phenomenology

• No supersymmetry

• No observed extra dimensions

They might seem easy to implement in EFTs, but they are not.

They are in fact open problems.

Niccolò Cribiori (MPP, Munich)

Weak Gravity vs Scale Separation

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Scale separation problem

- No experimental evidence for extra dimensions.
- Critical string theory predicts extra dimensions.

observed dim $\sim L_H \sim 10^{27} m$ extra dim (naive) $< 1/E_{LHC} \sim 10^{-18} m$

Explaining this hierarchy of scales is an open problem:

scale separation problem

- Scale separation is necessary for defining 4D EFTs
- Alternatives: brane-world scenarios, large (dark) extra dimensions (recent work [Montero, Vafa, Valenzuela '22])

Niccolò Cribiori (MPP, Munich)

Definition of scale separation

Consider a theory in D-dimensions

$$S = \int d^{D}x \sqrt{g_{D}} \left(M_{p}^{D-2}R + \dots - M_{p}^{D}V \right)$$

EOM
$$R = \frac{D}{D-2}M_{p}^{2}V$$

On max symm vacuum $(|R| = D(D-1)/L_H^2)$ there is a length scale

$$L_{H}^{-1} = \frac{M_{p}|V|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{(D-1)(D-2)}}$$

Scale separation is the requirement:

 $\frac{L_{KK}}{L_H} \ll 1$

Note: estimating L_{KK} is non-trivial. E.g. $L_{KK} \sim \text{Vol}^{\frac{1}{6}}$, but several effects (warping,...) can change it. (Recent work [Andriot, Tsimpis '18; De Luca, Tomasiello '21])

Niccolò Cribiori (MPP, Munich)

Modest attitude

Look at maximally symmetric vacua:

- de Sitter: not clear if under control
- Minkowski: automatically scale separated
- Anti-de Sitter: interesting and non-trivial. Unrealistic, but relevant for KKLT and LVS

Concentrate on AdS vacua, possibly with SUSY.

The problem can be addressed both from 4D and 10D.

For 10D analysis, **see talks by D. Andriot, F. Marchesano and T. Van Riet.** For holographic analysis, **see talk by F. Apers.**

Niccolò Cribiori (MPP, Munich)

Weak Gravity vs Scale Separation

Swampland and scale separation

 Some swampland conjectures are relevant for scale separations [Gautason, Schillo, Van Riet, Williams '15; Gautason, Van Hemelryck, Van Riet '18; Lüst, Palti, Vafa '19; Blumenhagen, Brinkmann, Makridou '19...]

$$L_{H}\sim \sqrt{k}\,(L_{KK})^{lpha}$$
 e.g. $lpha=1$ for $AdS_5 imes S^5$

 $(\mathbb{Z}_k \text{ symmetry refinement [Buratti, Calderon, Mininno, Uranga '20]})$

Counterexamples: "DGKT"

[Behrndt, Cvetic '04; Derendinger, Kounnas, Petropoulos, Zwirner '04; Lüst, Tsimpis, '04; DeWolfe, Giryavets, Kachru, Taylor '05] **More recently** [Farakos, Tringas, Van Riet '20; NC, Junghans, Van Hemelryck, Van Riet, Wrase '21]

I will not assume any of the conjectures above.
 Rather, I will use [Arkani-Hamed, Motl, Nicolis, Vafa '06]

Magnetic WGC: $\Lambda_{UV} \leq gM_p$

Niccolò Cribiori (MPP, Munich)

Weak Gravity vs Scale Separation

Weak Gravity versus Scale Separation

[NC, Dall'Agata '22]

ヘロト ヘロト ヘビト ヘビト

The strategy

- Consider 4D SUGRA with SUSY AdS vacua. This is a well-controlled setup.
- For N > 1, we can show that

$$|L_H^{-2} \sim M_p^2 |V_{AdS}| \simeq q^2 g^2 M_p^2 \stackrel{WGC}{\gtrsim} q^2 \Lambda_{UV}^2$$

 Then, scale separation absent if Λ_{UV} ~ Λ_{KK} (assuming charge quantisation).

Way out: AdS_4 vacua with N = 0, 1 might evade the argument.

Note: The argument is relevant for any UV complete theory reducing to 4D SUGRA in the low energy

Niccolò Cribiori (MPP, Munich)

The argument (1/2)

Idea: We want to show that the vacuum energy is completely fixed by the WGC gauge coupling with no free parameter.

The SUSY AdS vacuum energy is given by the gravitino mass

$$V_{AdS} = -3\bar{L}^{\Lambda}L^{\Sigma}\mathcal{P}^{x}_{\Lambda}\mathcal{P}^{x}_{\Sigma}$$

There is a relation between gravitino mass and gauge couplings [Hristof, Looyestijn, Vandoren '09]

$$\bar{L}^{\Lambda}L^{\Sigma}\mathcal{P}^{x}_{\Lambda}\mathcal{P}^{x}_{\Sigma}=-\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{N}^{-1}\right)^{\Lambda\Sigma}\mathcal{P}^{x}_{\Lambda}\mathcal{P}^{x}_{\Sigma}$$

Thus we can express V_{AdS} in terms of the gauge coupling

$$V_{AdS} = 3 \left(\mathrm{Im} \mathcal{N}^{-1} \right)^{\Lambda \Sigma} \mathrm{Tr} \, \mathrm{P}_{\Lambda} \mathrm{P}_{\Sigma},$$

where $2P_{\Lambda} = \mathbb{I}\mathcal{P}^{0}_{\Lambda} + \sigma^{x}\mathcal{P}^{x}_{\Lambda}$.

Niccolò Cribiori (MPP, Munich)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

The argument (2/2)

Identify and canonically normalise the WGC U(1) vector

$$A^{WGC}_{\mu}=\Theta_{\Lambda}A^{\Lambda}_{\mu}, \qquad g^2=-\Theta_{\Lambda}\left(\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{N}^{-1}
ight)^{\Lambda\Sigma}\Theta_{\Sigma}$$

Finally split $P_{\Lambda} = P_{\Lambda}^{\perp} + P_{\Lambda}^{\parallel}$ (wrt A_{μ}^{WGC}) and find

$$V_{AdS} = 3 \left(\mathrm{Im} \mathcal{N}^{-1} \right)^{\Lambda \Sigma} \left(\mathrm{Tr} \mathrm{P}^{\parallel}_{\Lambda} \mathrm{P}^{\parallel}_{\Sigma} + \mathrm{Tr} \mathrm{P}^{\perp}_{\Lambda} \mathrm{P}^{\perp}_{\Sigma} \right)$$

$$\leq 3 \left(\mathrm{Im} \mathcal{N}^{-1}\right)^{\Lambda \Sigma} \mathrm{Tr} \mathrm{P}^{\parallel}_{\Lambda} \mathrm{P}^{\parallel}_{\Sigma} = -3g^2 \mathrm{Tr}(q^2)$$

i.e.

$$|V_{AdS}| \ge 3g^2 \operatorname{Tr}(q^2) \overset{WGC}{\gtrsim} \operatorname{Tr}(q^2) \Lambda_{UV}^2$$

Thus if $\Lambda_{UV} \sim \Lambda_{KK}$ there is **no scale separation** (assuming charge quantisation).

Niccolò Cribiori (MPP, Munich)

(日)

An example

M-theory on SE_7 manifolds gives rise to 4D N=2 SUGRA with abelian gaugings.

[Gauntlett, Kim, Varela, Waldram '09; Hristov, Looyestjin, Vandoren '09] The theory is specified by

$$F = \sqrt{X^0 (X^1)^3}$$

and quaternionic metric $ds^2 = \frac{1}{4\rho^2} \left(d\rho^2 + (d\sigma - i(\xi d\bar{\xi} - \bar{\xi} d\xi))^2 \right) + \frac{1}{\rho} d\xi d\bar{\xi}.$ On the AdS vacuum a U(1) \subset U(1)×U(1) factor survives

$$\mathcal{P}^{\mathsf{x}}_{\mathsf{\Lambda}} = e_{\mathsf{\Lambda}} \delta^{\mathsf{x}3}, \qquad e_{\mathsf{\Lambda}} = (1, -3).$$

The vacuum energy can be rewritten as

$$V_{AdS} = -12 = -6g^2q^2, \qquad g^2q^2 = 2.$$

These vacua are not scale separated and thus not truly 4D.

Niccolò Cribiori (MPP, Munich)

Weak Gravity vs Scale Separation

Generalisations

- 4D N=8 SUGRA: both maximally SUSY and partially broken (N=2) AdS vacua [NC, Dall'Agata '22]. This is evidence for no scale separation in $2 \le N \le 8$ AdS₄ vacua. However, case by case analysis might be required.
- D > 4 extension seems straightforward too. It would forbid scale separation for AdS_{D>4} with N > 0.
- D = 4, N = 0, 1 can evade the argument. Known class of scale separated AdS₄ vacua of type IIA CY orientifolds exists. [Behrndt, Cvetic '04; Deredinger, Kounnas, Petropoulos, Zwirner '04; Lüst, Tsimpis '04; DeWolfe, Giryavets, Kachru, Taylor '05]
- A similar argument can be used against dS vacua. [NC, Dall'Agata, Farakos '20; Dall'Agata, Emelin, Farakos, Morittu '21]

Niccolò Cribiori (MPP, Munich)

Conclusion

- Scale separation is a minimal requirement for phenomenology in theories with extra dimensions.
- Contrary to naive expectation, not easy to get even in bottom-up approach. Constrained by swampland conjectures.
- We gave evidence that $2 \le N \le 8 \text{ AdS}_4$ vacua of gauged SUGRA are not scale separated if the WGC holds, regardless of the details of the UV completion.
- N = 0, 1 supersymmetry seem to be the most promising chances to get scale separated AdS₄ vacua. Interesting setups to investigate further.

(Recent work [Andriot, Horer, Marconnet '22])

Niccolò Cribiori (MPP, Munich)

Weak Gravity vs Scale Separation

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Thank you!

Niccolò Cribiori (MPP, Munich)

Weak Gravity vs Scale Separation

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶

≣ ৩৭.ে 15 / 15 Extra slides

Niccolò Cribiori (MPP, Munich)

Weak Gravity vs Scale Separation

16 / 15

Weak gravity versus de Sitter

[NC, Dall'Agata, Farakos '20; Dall'Agata, Emelin, Farakos, Morittu '21]

- In dS there is a natural IR cutoff $L_H^{-1} \sim \Lambda_{IR}$.
- Assuming vanishing gravitino mass on the vacuum, with similar steps as before we can write

$$egin{aligned} &\mathcal{N}_{dS} \geq -(\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{N}^{-1})^{\Lambda\Sigma}\mathrm{P}_{\Lambda}\mathrm{P}_{\Sigma}\ &\geq -(\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{N}^{-1})^{\Lambda\Sigma}\mathrm{P}_{\Lambda}^{\parallel}\mathrm{P}_{\Sigma}^{\parallel}\ &\geq g^{2}\mathrm{Tr}(q^{2}) \stackrel{WGC}{\gtrsim} \mathrm{Tr}(q^{2})\Lambda_{U}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

• Therefore these vacua are not good EFTs, since

$$\Lambda^2_{IR} \sim V_{dS} \sim g^2 \sim \Lambda^2_{UV}$$

while one would expect $\Lambda_{IR} \ll \Lambda_{UV}$

• N=0,1 SUGRA seem the most promising chances to get dS.

Niccolò Cribiori (MPP, Munich)